Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people living with migraine: Results of the MICOAS Qualitative Study

MiCOAS[™] Psychometric Group

Dawn C. Buse, PhD^{1,2}, Maya Gerstein, DrPH, MPH³, RJ Wirth¹, Alyssa A.Uzumcu BA³, Kelly P. McCarrier, PhD, MPH³, Alexis Cooke, MPH, PhD³, Nancy M. Touba MPH³, Carrie R. Houts, PhD¹, James S. McGinley, PhD^{1,2}, Richard B. Lipton, MD³ 1. Vector Psychometric Group, LLC, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; 2. Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY; 3. Pharmerit-An-OPEN Health Company, Bethesda, MD

Background & Objectives

- The Migraine Clinical Outcome Assessment System (MiCOAS) is a multi-stage NIH-FDA grant focused on integrating patient input into the development of clinical trial outcomes and endpoints.
- An early action was to gather input from people living with migraine via qualitative interviews, including the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the lived experience of people with migraine who had not had COVID-19.
- We examined the perceptions of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on a sample of people living with migraine during the summer and fall of 2020.

Methods

- Individuals with self-reported, medically-diagnosed migraine were recruited through a voluntary organization, the Coalition for Headache and Migraine Patients (CHAMP) for participation in qualitative interviews.
- Exclusion criteria included symptoms of and/or hospitalization for COVID-19 or a positive test.
- N=428 individuals responded to the study screener and were determined eligible, of whom 40 were selected through iterative purposeful sampling to meet predetermined quotas for headache days per month and participant diversity.
- Selection for participant diversity entailed consideration of a number of variables, such as age, race, gender, employment status, and household composition to ensure a wide selection of lived experiences were represented in the qualitative data.
- Interviews were conducted virtually between July- November 2020 using semistructured interview guides. Potential impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic were assessed with open ended questions and probes.
- Interviews were transcribed verbatim and coded using a hybrid deductive/inductive approach.
- Thematic content analysis was applied to identify key concepts and themes.

Results

- Participant ages ranged from 21-70 (mean=44). The sample was 77.5% female, 67.5% white, and 55.0% employed.
- 100% of participants currently used acute treatment(s) and 87.5% used preventive therapy for migraine.
- Perceived COVID-19 pandemic related impacts included both positive and negative changes to care access and treatments, frequency and severity of migraine attacks, daily life, and emotional functioning (see Tables 1 and 2).

Results (continued) Generally, migraine symptom profiles, disease impacts, and treatment priorities did not change due to the pandemic.

- Perceived impacts on care included both disruption to interventional treat which must be performed in person as well as expanded access and conven healthcare via telehealth. There were also concerns with losing providers, to avoid urgent care/emergency departments, and difficulty obtaining cert medications due to backlogs (Table 1).
- It was perceived that several variables lead to increased migraine attack frequency and severity, such as barriers to access as well as increased stress and anxiety (Table
- Conversely, participants reported some perceived benefits secondary to the pandemic, including the ability to work and attend school from home and having fewer social engagements and expectations leading to reduced missed activity, reduced guilt, and an improved sense of control over one's life (Table 2).

COVID-19 pandemic provided by participants with migraine Content thematic Examples given by participants area Disruption to in person services (e.g., unable to get OnabotulinumtoxinA, nerve blocks, acute injectable Perceived barriers treatments) Difficulty obtaining a new healthcare provider as for to migraine providers retired or left their practice during the p treatment due to the pandemic Delayed and/or backordered prescriptions Desire to avoid visiting urgent care centers and eme departments Disrupted access to preventive treatments (e.g., Perceived reasons onabotulinumtoxinA injections) and therapies (e.g., physical for increased (therapy) migraine attack Increased stress and anxiety frequency and severity due to Less opportunity to engage in preventive behaviors and the pandemic therapies Mask-wearing mandates in public spaces, lockdowns, restrictions and other public health policies related to the Perceived stressors due to pandemic the pandemic Closures of school dorms

Competition for employment

Table 1. Content areas and examples of the negative impacts of the

Table 2. Content areas and examples of the positive impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic provided by participants with migraine

tments		
nience of		
a desire		
rtain		

2	
rmer andemic	
ergency	

Content thematic area	Examples given by participants
Benefits of telehealth due to the pandemic	Improved access to care via telehealth
	Reduced travel
	Reduced exposure to triggers
	Coordination of at-home treatments leading quicker pain and symptom relief
	Better communication with providers
Positive general life impacts due to the pandemic	Fewer concerns about the need to engage in outside the home (e.g., remote work and scherrands, appointments)
	Reduced guilt over canceling social events wi friends or family
	Greater access to online services (e.g., delive services, telehealth)

Conclusions

- Participants reported a range of positive and negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and local stay-at-home orders on access to care, changes to treatment, changes in migraine severity and frequency, and as well as challenges and improvements in daily living.
- Migraine symptom profiles, disease impacts, and treatment priorities were not reported to be affected by the pandemic.

Sponsorship

This presentation was supported by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of a financial assistance award (UG3FD006795) totaling \$1,286,743 with 100 percent funded by FDA/HHS. The contents are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by FDA/HHS, or the U.S. Government.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Katie Golden for her work as a MICOAS advisor and CHAMP for advertising for study participation and assisting in recruitment.





